



April 17, 2006

To: Mr. Noah C. Hawk, Art Unit: 3637
From: Michael A. Zerillo
Subject: Response to Office Action Summary of March 28, 2006
Patent Application Number 10/737,330
Comments and Remarks

Please note that certain text has been highlighted to emphasize words and phrases, and that no other meaning is intended. It is a matter of record that the original specifications have never been amended. Claims amended in the 1/3/06 filing remain operative.

1. Paragraph 1 of Office Action:

Examiner states, "reply filed on 1/3/06 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action."

"However, since the submission appears to be a bona fide attempt to provide a complete reply, applicant's submission has been entered."

Comment: Applicant thanks Examiner for entering his 1/3/06 submission.

2. Paragraph 2 of Office Action:

Examiner refers to the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. "The specification shall contain a written description of the invention", "to enable any person skilled in the art", "to make and use the same", "and set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention".

3. Paragraph 3 of Office Action:

Examiner rejects Claim 1-3 under 35 U.S.C. 112 "as failing to comply with the enablement requirement". "The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art", "to make or use the invention".

Remark 3A: The specification clearly points out how to **make** the invention. Examples begin on page 4, paragraph (0009). "The motor housing comprises a low voltage reversible motor driving gears and a cable reel." Paragraph (0011) "FIG. 4 illustrates a partial view of an

Do not
enter
Noted
NCH
5/4/06